



Hi Joe: Another thought - I don't think Brian should be checking 'no' in the 'hydrology' box as noted below (Sample Pt. 7) in his comments to you regarding my first request for data sheets. If you are not allowed to dig a borehole, you cannot solely rely on surface hydrology indicators to answer 'no', rather the data completion is incomplete and no check should be shown, rather an explanation on why it was incomplete.

From: Kassler, Joseph E LRB [Joseph.E.Kassler@usace.army.mil]

Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2013 9:25 AM

To: Carlson, Greg

Subject: FW: EPG2 file contents (UNCLASSIFIED)

Hi Greg,

Brian has provided the attached information regarding your previous inquiry. I hope this supplies the answers you needed.

Joe K.

Joseph E. Kassler Buffalo District, Corps of Engineers Monitoring and Enforcement 1776 Niagara Street Buffalo, NY 14207-3199 joseph.e.kassler@usace.army.mil (716) 877-6923 (work) (716) 430-8775 (mobile)

----Original Message-----From: Swartz, Brian P LRB

Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2013 9:42 AM

To: Kassler, Joseph E LRB

Subject: RE: EPG2 file contents (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Joe

I have attached the following:

Datasheets 1 and 2 - not sure where the mix up was but there has always been a datasheet for each plot.

Revised Sampling Plot 7 - no soils were sampled - check mark removed from no hydric soils present, check added for no hydrology in the box entitled Summary of Findings and marked no hydrology present in the Hydrology Section.

Attached completed Sampling Plot 9 datasheet. Not sure how the first two sheets were omitted.

Brian Swartz U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Buffalo District - Oak Harbor Field Office 240 Lake Street, Unit D Oak Harbor, Ohio 43449 419 898-3491, 419 898-4292 (fax)